IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE OF BOMBAY

CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRIMINAL REVISION APPLN. NO. 152 OF 1999

WITH

CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO. 4957 OF 2004

Mahadev Bhabhu Korpe and ors. ... Applicants

versus

The State of Maharashtra & ors. ... Respondents

...

Mr. Ganesh Gole, for the Applicants.

Mr. A.S. Gadkari, A.P.P., for the Respondent State.

Mr. Indrajit Joshi, for Respondents Nos. 2 to 5.

...

CORAM : A.M.KHANWILKAR,J.

12th January 2005

P.C.:

. The Applicants were prosecuted for offence punishable under section 323 and 324 read with section 34 of the Indian Penal Code. The trial ended in conviction and the Applicants were sentenced to undergo rigorous imprisonment for a period of 9 months and fine. Against that

decision, matter was carried in appeal. However, even the appeal has been rejected. During the pendency of the present revision application, the parties have arrived at an amicable settlement and the complainant has shown his inclination to compound the offences. The complainant has filed his affidavit indicating the above position, which has been placed on record. Offence under section 323, I.P.C., is compoundable by the parties. Insofar as offence under section 324, I.P.C., is concerned, the same is compoundable but with the permission of the Court. As all the disputes have been amicably resolved between the parties, in the interest of justice, I think it appropriate to accede to the request for compounding of the aforesaid offences. Accordingly, the parties are allowed to compound the offences in question. In view thereof, order of conviction and sentence passed by the Courts below stands anulled. Revision application as well as accompanying Criminal application is disposed of on the above terms.

(A.M.KHANWILKAR,J.)